1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
7	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT	OF CALIFORNIA	
8	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. BILL LOCKYER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,)))	
10	Plaintiff,)	
11	V.)	
12	POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation,))	
13	dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP., and DOES 1 - 100,) CIV-S-05-143) CIV-S-05-518) CIV-S-05-1216	
14	Defendant.)	DFL DAD
15	CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF WATER RESOURCES,) <u>ORDER</u>)	
16))	
	Dlaintiff		
17	Plaintiff,))	
17	v.)))	
	v. POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP.,)))))	
18	v. POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP., and DOES 1 - 100,))))))))	
18 19	v. POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP., and DOES 1 - 100, Defendant.))))))))))	
18 19 20	POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP., and DOES 1 - 100, Defendant. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. BILL LOCKYER, ATTORNEY GENERAL))))))))))))))	
18 19 20 21	PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. BILL LOCKYER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,))))))))))))))))	
18 19 20 21 22	POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP., and DOES 1 - 100, Defendant. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. BILL LOCKYER, ATTORNEY GENERAL)))))))))))))))))))	
18 19 20 21 22 23	POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP., and DOES 1 - 100, Defendant. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. BILL LOCKYER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, v.)))))))))))))))))))	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24	POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP., and DOES 1 - 100, Defendant. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. BILL LOCKYER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff,)))))))))))))))))))	
18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25	POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP., and DOES 1 - 100, Defendant. PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA EX REL. BILL LOCKYER, ATTORNEY GENERAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, Plaintiff, V. POWEREX CORP., a Canadian Corporation, dba POWEREX ENERGY CORP.; PUBLIC)))))))))))))))))))	

Case 2:05-cv-00143-GEB-PAN Document 48 Filed 06/23/05 Page 2 of 2

On June 17, 2005, Powerex Corp. filed a Notice of Related Cases document in CIV-S-05-1216. Although the earlier filed actions were related so that the same magistrate judge would be assigned to both actions, when the remand motions filed in those actions were analyzed, the non-relatedness of the actions was discerned.

The latest filed action is not related to the earlier filed actions since the actions involve different legal claims and factual allegations. Specifically, the latest filed action is based on an alleged conspiracy between Powerex Corp., Public Service Company of New Mexico, and the Colorado River Commission, whereas the earlier filed actions are not based on a conspiracy claim and do not mention either the Public Service Company of New Mexico or the Colorado River Commission.

/s/ Garland E. Burrell, Jr.

United States District Judge

GARLAND E. BURRELL, JR.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: June 22, 2005

The document was not filed in the earlier filed actions as required by Local Rule 83-123(b). See L.R. 83-123(b) ("Counsel who has reason to believe that an action on file or about to be filed is related to another action on file . . . shall promptly file in each action and serve on all parties in each action a Notice of Related Cases.").